

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/909,229	CZEKAJ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2634

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Sudhanshu C. Pathak.

(3) ____.

(2) Sanders Hillis.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 1 November 2005

Time: morning

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Yes

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

No


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Basically, a better understanding of the invention was discussed. The first sample rate is essentially for example a sample rate for sampling an analog source information i.e. A/D sampling rate and the second rate is the network clocking rate. This provides a better understanding of the invention implemented in a synchronous network..